THE DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE BEFORE THE ADMINISTRATIVE LAW JUDGE

DIRECTOR, OFFICE OF PROFESSIONAL RESPONSIBILITY,

Complainant,

٧.

(b)(3)/26 USC 6103

17-AF-0013-OD-001 (Complaint No. 2016-00002)

August 24, 2017

(b)(3)/26 USC 6103

Respondent.

INITIAL DECISION ON DEFAULT JUDGMENT

On March 10, 2017, the Director of the Office of Professional Responsibility ("OPR" or "Complainant") for the Internal Revenue Service (IRS) filed a *Motion for a Decision by Default* ("Default Motion") in the above-captioned matter.¹

PROCEDURAL HISTORY

On November 22, 2016, the OPR Director filed a *Complaint* against (b) (3)/26 USC 6103 (b)(3)/26 USC 6103 (c"Respondent"). The *Complaint* seeks an order disbarring Respondent from practice before the Internal Revenue Service for committing violations of the Federal regulations governing authority to practice before IRS that are set forth in 31 C.F.R. Part 10.² (b)(3)/26 USC 6103

A copy of the *Complaint* was served on Respondent by United States Postal Service (USPS) via certified mail, return receipt requested, to Respondent's last known address of record with the (b) (3)/26 USC 6103 In addition, an (b)(3)/26 USC 6103 additional copy was sent to the same address by regular USPS mail. Based upon USPS tracking^{(b)(6)} information, the *Complaint* was delivered to Respondent's address on November 28, 2016.

By Notice of Hearing and Order, issued December 1, 2016, Respondent was required to file an answer within 30 days from the date the Complaint is served upon Respondent. The

¹ Pursuant to an Interagency Agreement in effect beginning June 10, 2015, Administrative Law Judges of the United States Department of Housing and Urban Development are authorized to hear cases brought by the Department of the Treasury, Internal Revenue Service.

² The regulations governing practice before the IRS, found at 31 C.F.R. Part 10, were most recently revised on June 12, 2014. The savings clause contained at 31 C.F.R. § 10.91 of the revised regulations provides that any proceeding under this part based on conduct engaged prior to June 12, 2014, which is instituted after that date, shall apply the procedural rules of the revised regulations contained in Subparts D and E, but the conduct engaged in prior to the effective date of these revisions will be judged by the regulations in effect at the time the conduct occurred. 31 C.F.R. § 10.91 (Rev. 6-2014).

Complaint included a similar instruction to Respondent. Respondent did not file a timely answer to the *Complaint*. As of the date of this *Initial Decision*, Respondent has not filed an answer, requested an extension of time to do so, or otherwise appeared in this matter.

CONSEQUENCES OF FAILURE TO ANSWER

Section 10.64 of title 31 of the Code of Federal Regulations sets forth the requirement for answering a complaint and the consequences for not do so.

Failure to file an answer within the time prescribed (or within the time for answer as extended by the Administrative Law Judge), constitutes an admission of the allegations of the complaint and a waiver of hearing, and the Administrative Law Judge may make a decision by default without a hearing or further procedure.

31 C.F.R. § 10.64(d). As a result of Respondent's failure to answer the *Complaint*, Respondent is deemed to have admitted the allegations in the *Complaint*, which are set forth below as the Court's findings of fact.

FINDINGS OF FACT

Respondent has enga to the disciplinary authority	ged in practice before the IRS as a of the Secretary of the Treasury and	certified public accountation of the OPR. Respondent's	nt subject last
	h the Internal Revenue Service is		(b)(3)/26 USC 6103 (b)(6)/26 USC 6103 (b)(6)
Respondent is a share	cholder and partner in the CPA	(b)(3)/26 USC 6103	locate(1)(3)/26 USC 6103
in Rockville, Maryland.	(b)(3)/26 US	C 6103	(b)(3)/26 USC 6103
	(b)(3)/26 USC 6103		(b)(3)/26 USC 6103
	(b)(3)/26 USC 6103		(b) (3)/26 USC 6103
	(b)(3)/26 USC 6103		(b)(3)/26 USC 6103

		(b)(3)/26 US
	(b)(3)/26 USC 6103	
		(b)(3)/26 US
	(b)(3)/26 USC 6103	
		(b)(3)/26 Us
	(b)(3)/26 USC 6103	
		(b)(3)/26 US
	(b)(3)/26 USC 6103	
		(b)(3)/2 _ີ ຄັບເ
	(b)(3)/26 USC 6103	
	CONCLUSIONS OF LAW	
	A to the more than the state of	4
The Compl. violation of the Fed	<i>claint</i> alleges Respondent willfully engaged in 5 counts of misconduct is ederal regulations governing practice before the IRS.	in
A practition C.F.R. Part 10. Inc regulations. 31 C.F	ederal regulations governing practice before the IRS. oner may be sanctioned for willfully violating the regulations set forth a neompetence and disreputable conduct are sanctionable pursuant to the F.R. § 10.51. A practitioner that willfully attempts to evade payment of the sanctioned for disreputable conduct pursuant to 31 C.F.R. § 10.51(a)	at 31 of any
A practition C.F.R. Part 10. Inc regulations. 31 C.F	ederal regulations governing practice before the IRS. oner may be sanctioned for willfully violating the regulations set forth a neompetence and disreputable conduct are sanctionable pursuant to the F.R. § 10.51. A practitioner that willfully attempts to evade payment of the sanctioned for disreputable conduct pursuant to 31 C.F.R. § 10.51(a) (b) (3)/26 USC 6103	at 31 5 5 f any (6). (t)(3)/26 US
A practition C.F.R. Part 10. Inc regulations. 31 C.F Federal tax may be	ederal regulations governing practice before the IRS. oner may be sanctioned for willfully violating the regulations set forth a neompetence and disreputable conduct are sanctionable pursuant to the F.R. § 10.51. A practitioner that willfully attempts to evade payment of the sanctioned for disreputable conduct pursuant to 31 C.F.R. § 10.51(a) (b) (3)/26 USC 6103	at 31 of any (6). (b)(3)/26 Us parate (b)(3)/26 Us resulted
A practition C.F.R. Part 10. Inc regulations. 31 C.F Federal tax may be count of disreputab	ederal regulations governing practice before the IRS. oner may be sanctioned for willfully violating the regulations set forth a neompetence and disreputable conduct are sanctionable pursuant to the F.R. § 10.51. A practitioner that willfully attempts to evade payment of be sanctioned for disreputable conduct pursuant to 31 C.F.R. § 10.51(a) (b) (3)/26 USC 6103 (b) (3)/26 USC 6103 (constitutes a sep ble conduct in violation of 31 C.F.R. § 10.51(a)(6). Such conduct has	at 31 of any (6). (b)(3)/26 US parate (b)(3)/26 US

,

SANCTION

Respondent's actions constitute disreputable conduct and failure to comply with the regulations governing practice before the IRS as set forth in 31 C.F.R. Part 10. The OPR Director claims Respondent's misconduct adversely reflects on Respondent's fitness to practice before the IRS and, as such, warrants his disbarment from practice. In support of this claim, the OPR Director notes, as an aggravating factor, that Respondent has engaged in the same pattern of behavior singe 1998

of behavior since 1996.	(a) (3)/20 050 0103	<u>(u)(3)/20 USC 0103</u>
	(b)(3)/26 USC 6103	(b)(3)/26 USC 6103
4		

Willfully evading the payment of a federal tax warrants a sanction. <u>OPR v. Craft</u>, Complaint Number 2010-12, Decision on Appeal (IRS, Oct. 12, 2011). Based upon the uncontested aggravating factor proffered by the OPR Director (b) (3)/26 USC 6103 (b)(3)/26 USC 6103 (b)(3)/26 USC 6103 (b)(3)/26 USC 6103

(b) (3)/26 USC 6103	(p)(3)/20 USC 0103
(b) (3) /26 USC 6103n Respondent's extensive history of misconduct, a severe sanction is warranted.	(b)(3)/26 USC 6103

CONCLUSION

Respondent failed to file an answer to the *Complaint* and is in **DEFAULT**. As such, his lack of response constitutes an admission of the allegations in the *Complaint* and a waiver of hearing. See 31 C.F.R. § 10.64(d). Based upon the allegations deemed admitted by Respondent, the Court finds, by clear and convincing evidence, that $\text{Respon}_{(b)}(3)/26 \text{ USC } 6103$ (b) (3)/26 USC 6103 (b) (3)/26 USC 6103 (c) (3)/26 USC 6103 (c) (3)/26 USC 6103 (c) (3)/26 USC 6103 (c) (3)/26 USC 6103

(b) (3)/26 USC 6103pondent's conduct, as set forth in Counts 1-5, constitute incompetence and disreputable conduct pursuant to 31 C.F.R. § 10.51.

The Court finds that the seriousness of Respondent's offenses, coupled with the aggravating factor proffered by the OPR Director, demonstrate that Respondent is unfit to practice before the IRS and disbarment is warranted. Accordingly, Respondent is **DISBARRED** from practice before the IRS.

So ORDE	RED.		
alu	aug	2	

Alexander/Fernández United States Administrative Law Judge

Notice of Appeal Rights: Within thirty (30) days of this *Initial Decision*, either party may file an appeal with the Secretary of the Treasury, or delegate deciding appeals. 31 C.F.R. § 10.77(a). In the absence of an appeal to the Secretary of the Treasury or delegate, this *Initial Decision* will, without further proceedings, become the decision of the agency 30 days after the issuance date. 31 C.F.R. § 10.76(d).

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that copies of the foregoing INITIAL DECISION ON DEFAULT **JUDGMENT**, issued by Alexander Fernández, Administrative Law Judge, in 17-AF-0013-OD-001, were sent to the following parties on this 24th day of August, 2017, in the manner indicated:

amanadette Jones, Staff Assistan

VIA REGULAR MAIL:

(b)(3)/26 USC 6103 (b)(6)

(b)(3)/26 USC 6103

Alison K. Sablick, Senior Attorney Office of Chief Counsel 33 Maiden Lane, 14th Floor New York, NY 10038-4518 Alison.k.sablick@irscounsel.treas.goy